



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| PPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| 10/007,899 | 11/05/2001 | Olaf Turner | P01,0332 | 3107 | |
| 26574 75 | 590 06/06/2005 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| SCHIFF HARDIN, LLP | | | CHEN, | CHEN, TSE W | |
| PATENT DEPA | ARTMENT | | | | |
| 6600 SEARS TOWER | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| CHICAGO, IL 60606-6473 | | | 2116 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 06/06/2004 | DATE MAIL ED: 06/06/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|--|
| 10/007,899 | TURNER ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Tse Chen | 2116 | | |

| Advisory Action | 10/007,899 TURNER ET AL. | | |
|---|--|---|---|
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Tse Chen | 2116 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 23 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | | • | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of | n the same day as filing a Notice of owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The replication of the final rejection. | of Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 C ly must be filed within | ence, which CFR 41.31; or one of the |
| The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI | f the final rejection. | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened standove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | and the corresponding amount of the fee atutory period for reply originally set in the s after the mailing date of the final rejection | The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma | on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) by reduce any |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>23 May 2005</u>. A brief date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any replacements. | any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3) y must be filed within the time peri | 37(e)), to avoid dismis od set forth in 37 CFF | ssal of the R 41.37(a). |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) | onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); | TE below); | • |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a | ., . | | the issues for |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | jeeteu elanne. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.7 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a |): | · | , |
| the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) | ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w | · • | • |
| how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | vided below or appended. | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | • | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by | it does NOT place the application (| in condition for allowa | ince pecause: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper | No(s). | |
| | · · · | | |
| | SUPERVIS | NNE H. BROWNE SORY PATENT EXAM | INER |

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant raised new issues that would require further consideration and/or search by amending claim 1. As discussed in parts 7-13 of the Final Rejection, claim 1 was rejected based on the limitation of "a second battery... for supplying power to said security components ONLY upon an outage of said mains voltage".